

Planning

### Planning Team Report

## Amendment No. 75 to Woollahra LEP 1995: Increase height and FSR for 240 New South Head Road, Edgecliff

Proposal Title :	Amendment No. 75 to Woo Road, Edgecliff	llahra LEP 1995: Increase hei	ght and FSR for 240 New South Head		
Proposal Summary	- increase the maximum FS - increase the maximum bu - apply a second height lin	The proposal seeks to: - increase the maximum FSR from 0.875:1 to 4:1; - increase the maximum building height from 9.5m to 18m; and - apply a second height limit of 14m at the highest part of the site to protect views from surrounding properties, at 240 New South Head Road, Edgecliff.			
	The proposal will result in	an estimated net yield of 12 a	dditional dwellings.		
PP Number :	PP_2014_WOOLL_001_00	Dop File No :	14/06702		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received	02-Apr-2014	LGA covered :	Woollahra		
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Woollahra Municipal Council		
State Electorate :	VAUCLUSE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
Location Details					
Street :	240 New South Head Road				
Suburb :	Edgecliff City	/: Sydney	Postcode : 2027		
Land Parcel :	₋ot 4 DP 431756				
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Lauren Templeman				
Contact Number :	0285754112				
Contact Email :	lauren.templeman@plannin	g.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact De	tails				
Contact Name :	Brendan Metcalfe				
Contact Number :	0293917140				
Contact Email :	brendan.metcalfe@woollah	ra.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mar	nager Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					

ł

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	Metro East subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	12
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment :	No		
supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :		to have merit as it contributes to or with access to commercial cer	
	under the EP&A Act. Counci under section 59 of the EP& of Planning and Environmer	pted the Minister's offer to delega il is seeking delegation to carry o A Act 1979 to progress this plann at supports Council's request to e nsidered a matter of local signific	ut the Minister's functions ling proposal. The Departn exercise delegation becaus
		he planning proposal will take 6 n m the date of the gateway determ	
External Supporting Notes :		e result of Woollahra Council's Op r to meet NSW Government hous	
	oriented development whils	ing proposal because it promotes t minimising impacts on the surro ntribution toward an eastern gate	ounding area. The proposa
	triangular in shape and is ap	zoned 2(b) Residential under Wo oproximately 384m2. The entire si per level office area and a baseme	te is occupied by the exist

#### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal aims to facilitate additional residential dwellings in a location that is near shops, services and public transport whilst minimising the impact of the proposed built form.

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposal seeks to achieve the following for the subject site: - amendment to WLEP 1995 Density Map to allow a maximum permissible FSR of 4:1; - amendment to WLEP 1995 Height of Buildings Map to allow a maximum building height of 18m and a second height limit of 14m.

(The second height is a maximum height of development at the highest part of the site, or the crown of the adjoining road, whichever is the higher.)

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b	S.117 directions identified by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones
*	May need the Director General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
	Is the Director General's agreement required? No	

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development e) List any other 117 Directions: matters that need to 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones. be considered : The proposal is inconsistent with this direction given the job loss from the upper level office area and basement commercial area in the existing building. The planning proposal should be amended, as a condition of Gateway Determination, to include discussion on its inconsistency with this direction. 3.1 Residential Zones. The proposal is consistent with this direction as it contributes to subregional housing targets on a site located on a corridor with access to commercial centres, public transport and existing infrastructure. 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport. The proposal is consistent with this direction as it facilitates residential development within walking distance to public transport, shops and services thereby reducing the need for vehicle trips. The proposal also supports the nearby commercial centres of Edgecliff and Double Bay. SEPP No 65 - Design Quality of Residential Flat Development. Any redevelopment of the site must be designed in accordance with SEPP 65 to ensure that distances and treatments of windows and balconies will protect the privacy of surrounding residences. This would occur at the development assessment stage. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment ;

Maps clearly identifying the subject site and identifying current and proposed FSR and height are provided with the planning proposal.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council has proposed a period of 28 days consultation.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : De	cember 2014
---------------	-------------

Comments in<br/>relation to PrincipalIt is anticipated that Woollahra's Standard Instrument LEP will be made by the end of 2014.The proposal is to amend the WLEP 1995, but it may be an early amendment to the Standard<br/>Instrument LEP.

It is noted that the subject site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential in draft WLEP 2013 with a maximum height control of 13.5m. This is consistent with the average maximum building heights (4 storeys) for R3 zoned land in this particular area.

An FSR of 1.3:1 for the subject site in draft WLEP 2013 was needed to address the revised height control.

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning<br/>proposal :The planning proposal is the result of Woollahra Council's Opportunity Site Report, dated<br/>June 2010, prepared in order to meet NSW Government housing targets. The initial<br/>proposal was to rezone the subject site from 2(b) Residential to B4 Mixed Use, increase the<br/>FSR from 0.875:1 to 4.8:1 and increase height from 9.5m to 24.9m.During exhibition of the opportunity site report, Council received 3 submissions of support<br/>and 23 objections to this proposal. In July 2011 Council resolved to defer consideration of<br/>opportunity sites in order to focus on preparing Draft WLEP 2013. It was anticipated that<br/>the opportunity sites would be considered after Draft WLEP 2013 was adopted.

The current planning proposal is needed to increase FSR and height controls for the subject site.

			h the objectives of the Metrop	olitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
strategic planning	and the Draft East Subregional Strategy 2007 relating to: - contributing to subregional housing targets; - provision of affordable and sutainable housing within centres and corridors with access to existing infrastructure, public transport and local services; and - improving the quality of new housing development and urban renewal.				
ramework :					
	The proposal is co Strategic Plan.	onsistent wit	h Woollahra 2025 which is Co	uncil's 15 year Community	
Environmental social	Social and Econor	nic Impacts			
economic impacts :	The planning proposal will achieve the following:				
	- increased population providing economic support to local businesses;				
	- additional dwellin	ngs increasi	ng housing supply and poten	tially increasing housing	
	affordability;				
			development that includes a		
			rn gateway to the Edgecliff Co		
	- promotion of sus	stainable and	1 transport oriented developm	ient.	
	Environmental Im	pacts:			
	There are no critical habitat areas, threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats present on the subject land.				
	A view analysis assessing the potential impact of the proposal on views from buildings to				
	the south accompanies the current proposal. This analysis concludes that view loss from the proposed built form is mostly negligible. The view analysis will be exhibited with the				
	planning proposal		stry negligible. The view analy		
	• •				
	planning proposal	Ι.		t form will be identified as part	
	planning proposal Other environmen	l. tal effects a			
	planning proposal Other environmen of a development	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built		
ssessment Proces	planning proposal Other environmen of a development	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built		
<b>ssessment Proce</b> Proposal type :	planning proposal Other environmen of a development	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built		
Proposal type :	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation	t form will be identified as part	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP :	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months	l. tal effects a	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by th	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months	l. tal effects a application	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matte	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months e PAC required? er proceed ?	l. tal effects a application No	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by th	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months e PAC required? er proceed ?	l. tal effects a application No	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matte	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months e PAC required? er proceed ?	l. tal effects a application No	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matte	planning proposal Other environmen of a development SS Routine 9 months e PAC required? er proceed ?	l. tal effects a application No	rising from the proposed built for the subject site. Community Consultation Period :	t form will be identified as part 28 Days	

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	No internal consultation required		
Is the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :	The subject site is connected to water, sewer, electricity and telephone services and is in proximity to regular and frequent public transport services.		
	While no significant infrastructure demand will result from the planning proposal, consultation with relevant public utilty and service providers is recommended to confirm service availability.		
Documents			
Document File Name	DocumentType Name Is Public		
Planning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:		
	- the planning proposal be supported;		
	- the planning proposal be amended, prior to exhibition, to include discussion on the proposal's inconsistency with S.117 Direction - 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones.		
	- the planning proposal plus view analysis be exhibited for a period of no less than 28 days;		
	- a public hearing is not required;		
	- the planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination;		
	- Woollahra Council be granted delegation to carry out the Minister's functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act 1979 to progress this planning proposal.		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is considered to have merit as it contibutes to subregional housing targets on a site located on a corridor with access to commercial centres, public transport and existing infrastructure.		
Signature:	1 th		
Printed Name:	TIM ARCHER Date: 1/5/14		